Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,141	GEHRING, DONALD H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tara L. Mayo	3671
All Participants:	Status of Application: Re	esponse to NFOA filed
(1) <u>Tara L. Mayo</u> .	(3) <u>Donald Gehring</u> .	
(2) James E. Bradley.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2007	Time: <u>8:45 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 3 46		
Prior art documents discussed: Hollowell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,026,226); Hoss et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,026,226);	atent No. 5,522,680)	
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature — if appropriate)
(Application (Application)	authinguir a trehieseliranae s	ngriature – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendments to the claims to place the application in condition for allowance, the amendments emphasizing the salient feature of the upper end of the anchor member and the engaging member defining a chamber that varies in volume in response to the heave of the buoyant hull. Applicant declined.